UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACH

3 11789 RCL

MARLENE JOHANSEN

Plaintiff

Civil Action No.

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Defendant

RECEIPT # SUMMONS ISSUE LOCAL RULE 4.1 WAIVER FORM. MCF ISSUED. BY DPTY .. C!

COMPLAINT

Now comes the Plaintiff, Marlene Johansen, and complains of the Defendant United States of America as follows.

JURISDICTION

This cause of action is based upon 28 USCA Section 2410 which provides that the United States may be named as a party in an action to quiet title over property where the United States has a lien. Jurisdiction is provided by 28 U.S.C. Section 1340 which provides that the Court shall have original jurisdiction over any civil action for an action arising under any Act of Congress providing for internal revenue the recovery of any Internal Revenue Tax alleged to have been coilected without authority.

PARTIES

The plaintiff, Marlene Johansen (hereinafter referred to as "Ms. Johansen") is an individual who resides at 71 Pleasant Street, Stoneham, MA.

2. The defendant is the United States of America.

FACTS

- 3. Marlene and Ralph Johansen were married on November 29,
- 4. On or about March 27, 1984, Marlene and Ralph Johansen bought 71 Pleasant Street in Stoneham, Massachusetts taking title as Tenants by the Entirety (the "Home") (Please see Exhibit 1).
 - 5. Mr. Johansen filed for divorce on November 16, 1998.
- 6. On March 21, 2001 the Honorable Mary Anne Sahagian, Justice of the Massachusetts Probate and Family Court ruled (the "Ruling") (Please see Exhibit 2.) that the home had a value of \$325,000. and was subject to encumbrances of a first mortgage with a balance of \$144,648. and a second mortgage with a balance of \$7,964.73.
- 7. The Ruling also found that Mr. Johansen had a liability to the Internal Revenue Service in the amount of \$171,379. and the Massachusetts Department of Revenue of \$28,333. (and an undetermined additional amount).
 - 8. The Ruling held that:

The only real asset that the parties have, other than the Husband's defined benefit pension plan, is the marital home which has equity of approximately \$172,387.00."

Unfortunately, the only asset available to the parties to address the enormous tax liability is the marital home. Accordingly, the home must be sold and proceeds used for this purpose.

- 9. Marlene Johansen filed a timely appeal of the Probate and Family Court's ruling and further filed a Motion for a Stay of the Divorce Judgment.
- 10. On June 7, 2001, Justice Dreben of the Massachusetts Appeals Court sitting as a single justice, issued an order stating (Please see Exhibit 3.):

Paragraphs 3-7 of the divorce judgment dated March 21, 2001 are stayed pending determination of the defendant's appeal. Whether the defendant's interest may be reached by the IRS may involve additional issues not determined or determinable by the Probate Court.

- 11. That part of the Ruling which required the division of Ralph Johansen's pension plan was not stayed.
- 12. Marlene and Ralph Johansen agreed to a judgment being entered by the Probate Court on December 24, 2001 (the "Judgment") (Please see Exhibit 4).
- 13. The Judgment required Ralph Johansen to "forthwith execute a quitclaim deed transferring all of his interest in the former marital home located in Stoneham to the Wife.
- 14. The Judgment required Ms. Johansen to release all claims that she had to her former spouses' pension plan assets.
- 15. On January 4th, 2002 Mr. and Ms. Johansen executed a deed to Ms. Johansen (the "Deed").
- 16. On December 11, 2002, the Deed was recorded by the Commonwealth of Massachuset's Registry of Deeds for Middlesex County. (Please see Exhibit 5.)
- 17. On December 18, 2002, a Notice of Federal Tax Lien on the property of Ralph Johansen was recorded by the Commonwealth

of Massachuset's Registry of Deeds for Middlesex County. (Please see Exhibit 6.)

- 19. On January 31, 2004 a Notice of Federal Tax Lien stating that Marlene Johansen was a Nominee of Ralph Johansen was recorded by the Commonwealth of Massachuset's Registry of Deeds for Middlesex County (the "Nominee Lien") (Please see Exhibit 7.)
- 20. The Nominee Lien creates a cloud on the title of the Home.
- 21. The Nominee Lien effects a detriment to Marlene's creditworthiness.
- 22. Marlene Johansen has been damaged by the Service's unlawful lien.
 - 23. Ms. Johansen has exhausted all administrative remedies.
- 24. Ms. Johansen has incurred and continues to incur attorney's fees in this action. She is entitled to an award of attorney's fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 USC Sec. 2412 (b) and IRC Sec. 7430.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, the Plaintiff prays this honorable Court to grant the following relief:

- a. Judgment against the defendant holding that the Lien filed against Ms. Johansen is erroneous.
- b. Award the Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 28 USC Sec. 2412(b) and IRC Sec. 7430; and,
- c. Any and all other remedies that this honorable court deems appropriate.

Trial by Jury

The plaintiff requests a trial by jury.

Date: August 16, 2004

Timothy J. Burke
Burke & Associates
400 Washington Street
Braintree, MA 02184
(781) 380-0770
BBO# 543837

EXHIBIT 1

3K 1 5 4 9 11 PG 4 2 8 11,25 909 We, Frank Frongillo and Gail E.Frongillo, husband and wife, a termits 34 by the entircty of Stonoham, Middlesex County, Marchauser being manuscripe, for consideration paid, grant to Ralph E. Johansen and Marlene E Johanson, husband and wife, as tenants by the entirety County, Massachus etts
/ with quittlaim secenarie 77 of 71 Pleasant Street, Stoneham, Middlesex / the land in Stoneham, Middlesex County, Massachusetts, with the buildings thereon standing, situated on the Westerly side of Pleasant Proef. bounded and described as follows: Being shown as Lot number 1 on a "Plan of Lots in Stoneham, Miss. surveyed for Daniel S. Davis, June 1919, Parker Holbrook, Sur Payor ' recorded with Middlesex South District Decds Plan Book 279, Man ... Said granted premises contain 7,886 square feet, more or less, the tro bounded: EASTERLY by said Pleasant Street, 87 feet: SOUTHERLY by Lot E as shown in Plan Book 282, Plan 16, land Drawerty of Peffers, 137.23 feet; WESTERLY by Pleasant Street Terrace, so-called, 92 feet; a: i NORTHERLY slightly Northeasterly, by land now or formerly on Nowe with, being Lot numbered 2 on the first above montioned "lan 108.48 feet. Being Parcel number 4 as described in deed of Florence Λ_{+} i \pm to Peffers, et al. dated January 5, 1945, recorded with said De $_{\rm ds}$ io Book 6837, Page 118; this conveyance being subject to water and sewer rights for the benefit of Lots 3 and 4 on sald first nentioned Plan, as fully described, Book 4341, Fages 38 and 42, said Register. of Deeds. For Grantors' title see Deed recorded Book 12225, Page 091 with solid Registry. MINISTER SERVICE The consideration for this Doed is \$120,000.00 The second section is a second released commentages represented as Hitters our hands and seal 8 this 27th atteaufineentt to dilesummmunette

Middlesex, March 27. Then personally appeared the above named Frank Frongillo and Gail E. On-Elecand acknowledged the foregoing instrument to be free set and deed, before me

Motary Public - Janggeror " Krigote" (Frank M. Geremonte)

My communication expires March 30,

19.64

Nass Stoneham,

Str

Pieasant 7

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT B

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

MIDDLESEX, SS.

NO. 98D4536-DV1

 $\cdots = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i x_{i+1}^{i} x_{i+1}^{i} x_{i+1}^{i} \cdots x_{i+1}^{i} \cdots x_{i+1}^{i} x_{i+1}^{i} \cdots x_{i+1}^{i} \cdots x_{i+1}^{i} \cdots x_{i+1}^{i} x_{i+1}^$

MARLENE JOHANSEN. Plaintiff-in-Counterclaim

RALPH JOHANSEN.

Defendant-in-Counterclaim

JUDGMENT OF DIVORCE NISL

All persons interested having been notified in accordance with law and after hearing it is adjudged nisi that a divorce from the bond of matrimony be granted the said plaintiff-in-counterclaim for the cause of irretrievable breakdown of marriage as provided by c. 208, sec. 1B and that upon the expiration of ninety days from entry of this judgment it shall become and be absolute unless, upon the application of any person within such period, the Court shall otherwise order, and it is further ordered that:

- The defendant-in-counterclaim shall pay to the plaintiff-in-counterclaim the sum of \$350.00 per week as alimony. Payments hereunder shall be paid until the death of either party, the plaintiff-in-counterclaim's remarriage or until modified by the Court, whichever event is the first to occur.
- The defendant in-counterclaim shall forthwith file with the appropriate taxing authorities. Federal income tax returns for calendar years 1995 through 1998 and Massachusetts income tax returns for calendar years 1993 through 1998 and shall, as soon as possible, enter into negotiations with both taxing authorities to obtain a settlement dire each. He shall provide the plaintiff-in-counterclaim with documentation as to the agreement, if any, reached with both taxing authorities.
- The parties shall immediately list the former marital home, located at 71 Pleasant Street,
 Stoneham, Massachusetts, for sale with a mutually agreed to broker, at a listing price
 suggested by the broker. Both parties will cooperate promptly with all necessary
 requirements to effectuate a prompt sale; including executing documents such as listing
 agreements, offer and acceptance and purchase and sale agreements. They will adjust the
 asking price as necessary, based on the recommendations of the broker and will accept
 any reasonable offer.

- 4. Cast poor sale, the 189 proceeds will be paid to Phyllis 180 47 200 48 attorney for the defendant-in-counterclaim, to be held by her in an escrow account for the purpose of paying the parties' outstanding Federal and State income tax liability for the years 1993 through 1998. Any interest earned shall be added to the escrow account with each party responsible for any income taxes due on their respective fifty percent (50%) share of any interest income reported in that year. Net proceeds are defined as follows: sales price less broker's commission, first mortgage balance, equity loan balance and the usual and necessary costs of closing.
- Once the net proceeds are deposited into the escrow account, counsel for each party shall receive a distribution for counsel fees in the amount of \$8,000.00 each. Each party shall be solely responsible for payment of any legal fees each has incurred in excess of that amount. The defendant-in-counterclaim's accountant shall estimate the amount of capital gains tax due on account of deductions taken on the rental half of the marital home and that amount shall also be held by defendant-in-counterclaim's counsel and utilized to pay said capital gains tax in the year the house is sold. The remainder of the escrow account shall be held by defendant-in-counterclaim's counsel pending acceptance by the Internal Revenue Service and the Massachusetts Department of Revenue of a settlement offer.

n.,

- 6. If the Offer in Compromise for both Federal and State income taxes for the years 1993 through 1998 is less than the available remaining proceeds, any funds remaining shall be divided equally between the parties and distributed to them once the Offer in Compromise is accepted.
- The Offer in Compromise accepted by the taxing authorities is more than the amount in the escrow account, the defendant-in-counterclaim shall enter into a payment plan with the taxing authorities for any additional amount(s) they require. Once that amount has been determined, the defendant-in-counterclaim shall be entitled to a weekly reduction in the amount of alimony paid to the plaintiff-in-counterclaim until such time as he has recouped one half(½) of the additional amount(s) required to satisfy the taxing authorities. The amount of said deduction shall be the lesser of \$50.00 per week or one-half(½) of the amount paid by the defendant-in-counterclaim per week under the payment plan.

- 8. Until the house is sold, the plaintiff-in-counterclaim shall attempt to beginners be for Until the house is sold, the plaintiff-in-counterclaim shall be entitled to mortgage all payments, both principal and interest, real estate taxes, utilities, insurance and routine payments, both principal and interest, real estate taxes, utilities, insurance and routine payments, both principal and interest, real estate taxes, utilities, insurance and routine payments, the defendant-in-counterclaim shall be entitled to reimbursement from the plaintiff-in-counterclaim for any arrears deducted from the net proceeds, it from the plaintiff-in-counterclaim's responsibility to keep these liabilities current. The being the plaintiff-in-counterclaim's repayment schedule; parties shall attempt to agree on the plaintiff-in-counterclaim shall be entitled to file a failing such an agreement, the defendant-in-counterclaim shall be entitled to file a Complaint for Contempt to obtain the amount due him. Until sale of the property, the plaintiff-in-counterclaim shall continue to receive the rental income derived from the property.
- 9. The defendant-in-counterclaim shall cause to be prepared a Qualified Domestic:
 Relations Order or other appropriate document as required by the Canadian government to equally divide the coverture portion of the defendant-in-counterclaim's defined benefit pension plan as of the date of trial. Additionally, the defendant-in-counterclaim shall elect the joint and survivor annuity option under said pension plan.
- The defendant-in-counterclaim shall provide health insurance for the plaintiff-incounterclaim for so long as he can keep her on his present family plan. When he can no
 longer do so, the plaintiff-in-counterclaim may elect COBRA benefits or obtain her own
 health insurance either directly or though her employment. In either case, the plaintiff-incounterclaim shall be responsible for this expense.
- 11. Each party shall pay his or her own uninsured medical and dental expenses.
- 12. For so long as he has an alimony obligation to the plaintiff-in-counterclaim, the defendant-in-counterclaim shall name the plaintiff-in-counterclaim as beneficiary of life insurance on his life in an amount not less than \$200,000.00.
- The defendant-in-counterclaim shall be entitled to retain as his sole property his whole life insurance policy, the cash surrender value of which is \$2,510.00.
- 14. The defendant-in-counterclaim shall retain as his sole property his Dodge Caravan motor vehicle and shall continue to be responsible for all costs associated with said motor vehicle.
- 15. The plaintiff-in-counterclaim shall have sole use of the Mazda Miata motor vehicle which she currently leases and shall continue to be responsible for all costs associated with said motor vehicle.

- 16. Casene planniff in 89 in the ciaim chailmeann as hensole propries her John Hancock 184-valued at \$2,400,00.
 - 17. The plaintiff-in-counterclaim is hereby awarded \$6,200.00 from the defendant-in-counterclaim's John Hancock IRA which is valued at \$14,883.73. The defendant-in-counterclaim shall take all steps necessary to effectuate a tax-free transfer of said sum to the plaintiff-in-counterclaim. The balance of the funds in the defendant-in-counterclaim's John Hancock IRA shall be retained by him as his sole property.

111

- 18. The parties shall each retain their respective bank accounts as their sole property.
- 19. The plaintiff-in-counterclaim shall retain her jewelry as her sole property.
- The defendant in-counterclaim shall have the right, within two (2) weeks of this 20. Judgment, to go through the former marital home, accompanied by his counsel or arr individual associated with said counsel's law firm, on a date and time convenient to the plaintiff-in-counterclaim, and designate those items of his personal papers and possessions, household furniture and furnishings and all other contents of the home which he would like to remove and retain as his property. If the parties cannot agree as to any of the items which the defendant-in-counterclaim wishes to remove; the parties shall confer with Myra S. Lyons; Esquire of Melrose, Massachusetts who is appointed: Special Master for the purpose of mediating any dispute involving personal property. The Special Master shall be paid equally by the parties at her usual and customary houriy rate. In the event that the Special Master is unable to resolve the matter, either party may bring the matter back to Court. If the Court finds that either party has acted arbitrarily, capriciously or in bad faith, the entire cost of such subsequent proceedings, including the fees of the Special Master, shall be borne by that party. Once the parties have agreed as to which items shall be removed by the defendant-in-counterclaim, he shall remove those items within two (2) weeks on a mutually convenient date and time. The remainder of the household contents shall be the sole property of the plaintiff in-counterclaim.

March 21, 2001 Date:

Mary Anne Sahagian, Justice Probate and Family Court

A+

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

MIDDLESEX. 3	SS.
--------------	-----

NO. 98D4536-DV1

.**	• • •	,
		- - -
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	
RALPH JOHANSEN,-	Plaintiff	₹
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• **	** * *********************************
V	·	*
¢ € .		**
MARLENE JOHANSEN	Defendant	**
-	*****	
	•	
*****	****	iii alia alia
MARLENE JOHANSEN,	Plaintiff-in-Counterclaim	*
3 - 4		
		*
		*
RAPPHIOHANSEN	Defendant-in-Counterclaim	#
		L ** **
FINDINGS OF FACT ON	COMPLAINT FOR DIVOR	CE FILED DECEMBER 4, 1998

AND ON COUNTERCLAIM FOR DIVORCE FILED JANUARY 21, 1999

E. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

- 1. The Husband filed a Complaint for Divorce on December 4, 1998 in the Middlesex Division of the Probate and Family Court Department, Docket No. 98D4536-DVI.
- The Wife filed an Answer and Counterclaim on January 2F, 1999 in the Middlesex Division of the Probate and Family Court Department, Docket No. 98D4536-DVL
- The grounds for the original Complaint are irretrievable breakdown of marriage pursuant to M.G.L. Chapter 208, section 1B.
- 4. The grounds for the Counterclaim are irretrievable breakdown of marriage pursuant to M.G.L. Chapter 208; section 1B.

- On March \$41999; the partitled Morions for Temporary Support and for Page 14 of 34 Provision of an Automobile: On April 9, 1999, the Court (Gibson, e.) entered a Temporary Order that provided for the Husband to pay to the Wife the sum of \$515.00 per week as alimony; for the Husband to maintain the Wife on his health insurance; for the Husband to maintain the Wife as beneficiary of his life insurance; and for the Husband to do all things necessary to enable the Wife to lease a new motor vehicle and to pay any fees due at the lease renewal or inception, after which the Wife was responsible for all lease payments and regular operating expenses.
- 6. This matter was pre-tried on October 5, 2000.
- This matter was tried on January 26, 2001 before Sahagian, J.

F. BASIC FACTUAL INFORMATION

- 8. The Husband and Wife were married at Boston, Massachusetts on November 29, 1980.
- This is the second marriage of the Wife.
- 10. This is the first marriage of the Husband.
- 11. No children were born of the marriage although the Wife's daughter from her first marriage resided with the parties.
- The parties last lived together at Stoneham, Massachusetts on or about October 13, 1997.
 - 13. The Wife resides at 71 Pleasant Street, Stoneham, Massachusetts.
 - 14. The Husband resides at 5 Snakebrook Road, Wayland, Massachusetts

C. <u>AGE</u>

- 15. The Wife was born on January 31, 1949 and is fifty-two (52) years of age.
- 16. The Husband was born on February 1, 1948 and is fifty-three (53) years of age.

- 25. Cassed Wifeedoes 789 We a motor vehicle: She valides the confidence of 200 of the large and 34 her jewelry at \$2,500.00.
- 26. The Husband drives a 2000 Dodge Caravan motor vehicle standing in his name. He values this vehicle at \$15,130,00 and the vehicle is encumbered by a loan in the amount of \$17,568.46.
- 27. The Wife has the following intangible property:

 John Hancock IRA. \$2,400.00
- 28. The Husband has the following intangible property:

* Sovereign Bank account

*\$5,769.13**

John Hancock IRA

agente i de la companya da la compa

\$14,883.73

John Hancock Whole Life policy

\$2,510.00

Canadian government defined benefit pension with an uncertain value

H. LIABILITIES

- 29. The joint secured liabilities of the parties are the two (2) mortgage loans set forth above in paragraph 24 of these Findings of Fact.
- 30. The Wife has the following unsecured liabilities:

Optima_credit card

\$3,900.00

American Express credit card.

\$5,000.00

31. The Husband has the following unsecured liabilities:

Sovereign Bank cash reserve
Fleet Bank personal loan
AAA Financial credit card:

\$4,000.00
\$1,232.00
\$5,311.04

American Express credit card

\$5,000.00

Internal Revenue Service

\$171,379.00

Mass. Dept. of Revenue

\$28,333,00

Additionally, the Husband owes an undetermined amount for 1993 and 1994. State income taxes.

L NEEDS OF THE PARTIES

- 32. The Wife's weekly expenses individually total \$1,039.00.
- 33. The Husband's weekly expenses individually total \$991.89:

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

APPEALS COURT CLEATS COPY

RALPH JOHANSEN

<u>vs</u>.

MARLENE JOHANSEN.

ORDER

Paragraphs 3-7 of the divorce judgment dated March 21, 2001 are stayed pending determination of the defendant's appeal.

Whether the defendant's interest may be reached by the IRS may involve additional issues not determined or determinable by the Probate Court.

So ordered.

By the Court (Dreben, J.),

Assistant Clerk

Entered: June 7, 2001

ᆕ

responsibility for paying the income tax debt through tax year 1998. Since the Court ordered the Husband to pay alimony commencing in April, 1999, the 1999 income tax liability should be borne by the Husband individually. The only real asset that the parties have, other than the Husband's defined benefit pension plan, is the marital home which has equity of approximately \$172.387.00.

Given the circumstances in this case, it is appropriate to utilize the Husband's pension as a future income stream, <u>Dewan v. Dewan</u>, (I), 17 Mass. App. Ct. 97 (1983). Although the Wife presented testimony as to the pension's present value, the Wife's expert acknowledged that he did not take into account the marital coverture reduction nor the joint and survivor annuity reduction which the Husband has voluntarily agreed to elect. The expert did testify that his opinion of value would be higher since there was a portion of the Husband's pension that he was unable to value. However, the erroneous assumptions made by the Wife's expert render his opinion meaningless. Moreover, even if an accurate present day value had been obtained, to offset the value of the Husband's pension against the equity in the marital home would result in an inequitable division of the parties' assets and liabilities.

Unfortunately, the only asset available to the parties to address the enormous tax liability is the marital home. Accordingly, the home must be sold and the proceeds utilized for this purpose.

Although the Husband seeks additional credits for the consumer debt of the Wife which he has paid down, the Court is not inclined to grant this credit.

Given the disparity in the parties' incomes and earning capacities, the Wife will require ongoing support from the Husband, albeit at a rate which is less than that awarded to her at the Temporary Order hearing of April, 1999. The Husband has life insurance available to him and the Court will require that this insurance be used to secure his alimony obligation.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Court has broad discretion to divide the marital estate between the parties Mahoney V. Mahoney, 425 Mass. 441 (1997). In doing so, the Court must take into account the various factors of M.G.L. c. 208, sec. 34. Gustin v. Gustin, 420 Mass. 854 (1995). "The equitable factors which are to be considered under Section 34 reflect a view of marriage as an implied partnership for the purpose of distribution of property." Savides v. Savides, 400 Mass. 250, 252 (1987). This implied partnership extends to the division of liabilities as well as assets, since both comprise the marital estate. Talbot v. Talbot. 13 Mass. App. Ct., 456 (1982); Cournover v. Cournover, 40 Mass. App. Ct. 302 (1996) (husband's tax liabilities considered by the Court). The weight given to the Sec. 34 factors is to be determined by the trial judge. Rice v. Rice, 372 Mass. 398, 400-401 (1977).

Case dividing pension benefits. Description ordered appropriate portion general pension payment as it is paid. Dewan v. Deewan (I), 17 Mass. App. Cz. 97 (1983). In long-term marriages, "the appropriate method of dealing with pension rights in the dontext of equitable division must be determined by the circumstances of the particular case." Id. At 102. The Court should also consider how much of the pension was earned during the marriage (the covernure portion). Peterson v. Peterson, 30 Mass. App. Ct. 932,933 (1991). Any division of the pension should not include benefits acquired after the date of trial, the marital partnership having ended. Daugherty v. Daugherty, 50 Mass. App. Ct. 738. (2001)

March 21, 2001

Date

Mary Anne Sahagian, Justice Probate and Family Court EXHIBIT 3

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

APPEALS COURT CLEATS COPY

RALPH JOHANSEN

<u>vs</u>.

MARLENE JOHANSEN.

ORDER

Paragraphs 3-7 of the divorce judgment dated March 21, 2001 are stayed pending determination of the defendant's appeal.

Whether the defendant's interest may be reached by the IRS may involve additional issues not determined or determinable by the Probate Court.

So ordered.

By the Court (Dreben, J.),

Assistant Clerk

Entered: June 7, 2001

EXHIBIT 4

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

M	iddlesex,ss.	PROBATE COURT		
		NO. 98D4536-DV1		
	Marlene Johansen	_, Plaintiff		
1	v			
!	Ralph Johansen	_, Defendant		
	MODIFICATION JUDGMENT	· .		
	This action came on hearing before the Court,at Cambridge			
	Beverly Weinger Boorstein , J. presiding, an	nd the issues having been		
heard and findings having been duly rendered,				
!	It is Ordered and Adjudged:			
The Judgment of Divorce Nisi dated March 21, 2001 be so modified in accordance with the terms of an Agreement dated December 24, 2001 filed, incorporated and not merged in this Judgment but nevertheless shall survive and have independent legal significance, except for alimony issues which shall merge. The parties are ordered to comply with the terms thereof. In all other respects the Judgment dated March 21, 2001 remains in full force and effect.				

DEC 2 4 2001

Date

Judge of Probate Court

6!/

Case MOHYOV MR89 RELA L Document Filed 98/17/2004 S Page 23 of 34

THE TRIAL COURT PROBATE & FAMILY COURT

 $\mathrm{MIDDLESEX}_{-88}$

DOX RT NO 980-4536-DV/.

Marline Johanson PLAINTIPE
Rolph Johanson DEFENDANT
IT IS HEREBY AGREED/S TIPLE ATE D THAT THE FOLLOWING SHALL BE MADE AND ROOM OF
JUDGEMENT OF THIS COURT
1. Marline, Johanson fortheith. Shall witheren or revert discussed
of he appeal of the hillymore Nici of Conce Dopen Comol No. 01-3-294.
No 98 D-4536 - OVI in Millesur Proporte + Fame Const Neither
Faste shell like any fresh appeals as to my assect of the dince
Tude hear or this theement for Judement dated December 24 2001.
I Rela Johanson sheel orthing execute a mitchain dul
transferring all of is intract in the former manital home brother men
be structure to the Wile this attorney stell hald the deed in every
Durding notification from the appellate court that the appell is
disprised, cit which time she shall immediately turn mer the deel
to Maline Johansen's attorney. She Continues to he usposible in
Bill ex Denses of the property we can't the Can't line.
3 Rulyh Johanne shell for their The ensure that said egant line is
Dairling Led
4. Commercine Lother & Robert Island della Commercine
Whensen the sum of \$ 400.00 for week is alinens the he to her the
beduck de by him on their but letures, Payable linted Her feeling the clinton of
PLAINTIFF
PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY
DELEMBRITA ATTORING
DATE DATE
* Payment the Friday 12/28/01 At.

(Continued from the other side)

lithe party or renaning of Mulico	Johnson.	
5. Marline Johnson hereby wives		\sim
thesband 1 returning in chilling long Cla		me 1
Johanson stall derive therefrom in	the melor accould part - diore	المال من مع
a Ralph Johnson sheel be solly sen		167
trues interest and peneltin to the		PALADO
as a result of his non-filming of trut le		can
te divorce julyment panagraph & and	he shall hall have to	There
Marline Johanson for my listely is a		instan
7 This cycent supraces paragraphs		gretian
3,45 6 and 7 and further elimina	ten Durand Pand 9	2.01
since the muited have is being trungle		مرص لعم
Thomses and Relph Tohunger whim		ischel
Actioned Paragraph 20 of the Sidyman	N'S' to also super the	
This Agrament.		
8. Eucept as sex fith here the parties	Nething the letour	
Nisi of Divoce that of March 21, 2001.		
In This Agreement shall be entered for	Julan ton Merlin	
Johanson's Complaint on Contimptate		
Not he shinged in continue		
o tod party shall be solly perpinish to	his and her storney & fees an	<u> </u>
marienes Adamien payment from the other	Registration	
PLANTIFF	DEFENDANT	
PLAINTIFFSATTORNEY	Phylis Lelman	
Mar / C ((t)) and (DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY	
DATE	WITNESS	

EXHIBIT 5

Document: 00001481 Page: Lof Z

71 Pleasant Street, Stoneham, Massachusetts

RALPH E. JOHANSEN and MARLENE E. JOHANSEN, husband and wife, as tenants by the entirery, for \$1.00 and other nominal consideration, pursuant to a Modification Agreement dated December 24, 2001, Docket No. 98D-4536-DV1) grant to MARLENE E. JOHANSEN, individually, 71 Pleasant Street, Stoneham, Middlesex County, Massachusetts with quitclaim covenants:

The land in Stoneham, Middlesex County, Massachusetts, with the buildings thereon standing, situated on the Westerly side of Pleasant Street, bounded and described as follows:

Being shown as Lot number I on a "Plan of Lots in Stoneham, Mass., surveyed for Daniel S. Davis, June 1919, Parker Holbrook, Surveyor", recorded with Middlesex South District Deeds Plan Book 279, Plan 48.

Said granted premises contain 7,886 square feet, more or less, and are bounded:

EASTERLY

by said Pleasant Street, 87 feet;

SOUTHERLY

by Lot E as shown in Plan Book 282, Plan 16, land formerly of

Peffers, 137.23 Feet;

WESTERLY

by Pleasant Street Terrace, so-called, 92 feet; and

NORTHERLY

slightly Northeasterly, by land now or formerly of Newcomb, being

Lot numbered 2 on the first above mentioned Plan, 108:48 feet.

Being Parcel number 4 as described in deed of Florence A. Pike to Peffers, et al., dated January 5, 1945, recorded with said Deeds in Book 6837, Page 118; this conveyance being subject to water and sewer rights for the benefit of Lots 3 and 4 on said first mentioned Plan, as fully described, Book 4341, Pages 38 and 42, said Registry of Deeds.

For Grantors' title see Deed recorded Book 15494, Page 428 with said Registry.

WITNESS OUR HANDS AND SEALS THIS 4th JANUARY, 2002.

45.00

18..0d

M8N 18/49/08 03:54109

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

Suffell, 55

January 4, 2002.

1

Then personally appeared the within named RALPH E. JOHANSEN and acknowledged that he signed the foregoing instrument of his free act and deed for the purposes therein set forth, before me,

Notary Public

Ny Commission expires:

PATRICIA LE TOCKHATT

. NOTARY POLICE NY ENGRESSIE STREEMS 1, 2003.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

MIDDLESEX , SS.

January 3/, 2002

Then personally appeared the within named MARLENE E. JOHANSEN and acknowledged that she signed the foregoing instrument of her free act and deed for the purposes therein set forth, before me,

Notary Public

My Commission expires:

2-8-2002

EXHIBIT 6

221Ž

Form 668 (Y)(c) (Aev. October 2000)

Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Notice of Federal Tax Lien

SMALL BUSINESS/SELF EMPLOYED AREA #1 Lien Unit Phone: (617) 316-2575

Serial Number

For Optional Use by Recording Office

As provided by section 6321, 6322, and 6323 of the Internal Revenue Code, we are giving a notice that taxes (including interest and penalties) have been assessed against the following-named taxpayer. We have made a demand for payment of this liability, but it remains unpaid. Therefore, there is a lien in favor of the United States on all property and rights to property belonging to this taxpayer for the amount of these taxes, and additional penalties, interest, and costs that may accrue.

Name of Taxpayer RALPH E JOHANSEN

Residence

ä

4

2 ঐ

遻

71 PLEASANT STREET STONEHAM, MA 02180

IMPORTANT RELEASE INFORMATION: For each assessment listed below. unless notice of the lien is refiled by the date given in column (e), this notice shall, on the day following such date, operate as a certificate of release as defined in IRC 6325(a).

Document: 00000765 Page: 1 of

Last Day for Unpaid Balance Date of Tax Period Kind of Tax Refiling of Assessment Ending Identifying Number Assessment (f) (a) **(b)** (d) (e) (c) 32866.16 05/14/2001 1040 06/13/2011 12/31/1995 337-40-7502 29435.22 07/04/2011 1040 12/31/1996 337-40-7502 06/04/2001 36820.51 05/21/2001 06/20/2011 1040 12/31/1997 337-40-7502 51836.15 04/30/2001 05/30/2011 1040 12/31/1998 337-40-7502 05/30/2011 19286.58 1040 12/31/1999 337-40-7502 04/30/2001 06/27/2011 14559.02 1040 12/31/2000 337-40-7502 05/28/2001 ∰lace of Filing Registry of Deeds Total 184803.64 Southern Middlesex County E. Cambridge, MA 02141

This notice was prepared and signed at	Boston, MA	, on this,
thelst day of November	2002,	·
Signature	Title REVENUE OFFICER	21-04-3925
for KELLY DIAZ	(781) 835-4207	

2261

Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Notice of Federal Tax Lien

Form 668 (Y)(c)

(Rev. Outaber 2000)

Serial Number

For Optional Use by Recording Office

Ares: SMALL BUSINESS/SELF EMPLOYED AREA #1

Uen Unit Phone: (617) 316-2575

153799904



8k: 41923 Pg: 104 Dog: FTAX Page: 1 of 1 01/81/2004-09:42 AM

At provided by section 6321, 6322, and 6323 of the internal Revenue Code, we are giving a notice that taxes (including interest and penalties) have been assessed against the following-named taxpayer. We have made a demand for payment of this liability, but it remains unpaid: Therefore, there is a lien in favor of the United States on all property and rights to property belonging to this taxpayer for the amount of these taxes, and additional penalties, interest, and costs that may accrue.

Name of Texpayer MARLENE E JOHANSEN AS NOMINEE OF RALPH E JOHANSEN

Residence

3.53**30**0

71 PLEASANT STREET STONEHAM. MA 02180

IMPORTANT RELEASE INFORMATION: For each assessment listed below, unless notice of the ilen is relied by the date given in column (e), this notice shall, on the day following such date, operate as a contificate of release as defined in IRC 6325(a).

Kind of Tax (a)	Tax Period Ending (b)	Identifying Number	Dare of Assessment (d)	Last Day for Refiling (e)	(Inpaid Balance of Assessment (f)
1040 1040 1040 1040 1040	12/31/1995 12/31/1996 12/31/1997 12/31/1998 12/31/1999 12/31/2000	337-40-7502 337-40-7502 337-40-7502 337-40-7502 337-40-7502	05/14/2001 06/04/2001 05/21/2001 04/30/2001 04/30/2001 05/28/2001	06/13/2011 07/04/2011 06/20/2011 05/30/2011 05/30/2011 06/27/2011	32866.10 29435.2: 36820.5: 51836.1: 19286.5: 14559.0:
-				n na	

Place of Filling:

Registry of Deeds Southern Middlesex County E. Cambridge, MA 02141

Total

15

184803.64

This notice was prepared and signed at

BOSTON, MA

, on this

27th

January.

2004

Signature

Title REVENUE OFFICER

21-04-392

(MGTE: Cartificate of officer authorized by law to take acknowledgment is not assential to the validity of Notice of Fotieral Tax lien

Rev. Rd. 71-455, 1971 - 2-C.S. 409)

(781) 835-4207

Form 668(Y)(c) (Rev. 10-00)

Part 1 - Kept By Recording Officer

2. CATEGORY IN WHICH THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON THE NUMBERED NATURE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE COVER SHEET. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A/1)). 1. 180, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT. 1. 195, 365, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730. 10. 195, 365, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730. 110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 220, 240, 246, 240, 310. 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371. 380, 385, 430, 891. 17. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 550, 530, 510, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 660, 660, 660, 660, 660, 66	<u>√√√</u> ——
COVER SHEET. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1)). 1 160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SELL. 1 195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730. 740, 790, 791, 820°, 830°, 840°, 858, 890, 892-894, 895, 950. 110, 120, 361, 401, 511, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891. 11. 110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891. 11. 270, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 660, 660, 690, 610, 661-865, 670, 871, 875, 900. 12. 11712 AND NUMBER, IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES. (SEE LOCAL RULLING 1(G)). IF MORE THAN ONE PRIOR RELATIONS BEEN FILED IN THIS DESTRICT PLEASE INDICATE THE TITLE AND MARKET OF THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS AND BEEN FILED IN THIS DESTRICT PLEASE INDICATE THE TITLE AND MARKET OF THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS COURT? 2. HAS A PRIOR ACTION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND BASED ON THE SAME CLAIM EVER BEEN FILED IN THIS COURT? 3. DOES THE COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING PUBLIC INTEREST? 4. HAS A PRIOR ACTION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND BASED ON THE SAME CLAIM EVER BEEN FILED IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING PUBLIC INTEREST? 5. DOES THE COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING PUBLIC INTEREST? 1 F SO, IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. APARTY? 2 F USC \$22847 2 F O ALL OF THE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AN COMMINIMENTAL PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AN COMMINIMENTAL PARTIES RESIDE? 3 F YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE PLAINTIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLUSION.	CI VL ,
1. 160. 410. 470. R.23. REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SOLIT. 11. 195. 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730. 740, 790, 791, 820°, 830°, 840°, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950. 740, 790, 791, 820°, 830°, 840°, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950. 741, 790, 791, 820°, 830°, 840°, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950. 741, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 340, 386, 450, 891. 741, 875, 900. 741, 875, 900. 741, 875, 900. 741, 875, 900. 741, 975, 975, 975, 975, 975, 975, 975, 975	
11. 195, 196, 400, 440, 441, 444, 540, 550, 555, 623 No. 107 patent, trademarkor copyrighty 740, 790, 791, 820°, 830°, 80°, 80°, 80°, 89°, 89°, 98°, 98°. 110. 110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 180, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 350, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891. 111. 220, 422, 423, 430, 480, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 810, 821, 821, 430, 801, 871, 875, 900. 111. 150, 152, 153. 111. 150, 152, 153. 111. 16 AND NUMBER, IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES, (SEE LOCAL RULLING, 16)). (IF MORE THAN ONE PRIOR RELATING HAS BEEN FILED IN THIS DISTRICT PLEASE INDICATE THE TITLE AND AMBER OF THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS HAS BEEN FILED IN THIS DISTRICT PLEASE INDICATE THE TITLE AND AMBER OF THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS COURT? 15. DOES THE COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING PUBLIC INTEREST? (SEE 28 USC §2403) 16 IS THIS CASE REQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT 28 USC §22647 17. DO ALL OF THE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AN COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE? A IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTES RESIDE? A IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE PLAINTIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLUSIONS	
315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 369, 370, 371. 380, 385, 450, 891. IV. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 610, 661-865, 670, 671, 875, 900. V. 150, 152, 153. 3. TITLE AND NUMBER, IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES. (SEE LOCAL RULING, 1G)). IF MORE THAN ONE PRIOR RELATION HAS BEEN FILED IN THIS DISTRICT PLEASE INDICATE THE TITLE NUMBERS OF THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS COURT? 4. HAS A PRIOR ACTION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND BASED ON THE SAME CLAIM EVER BEEN FILED INTHIS COURT? 5. DOES THE COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING PUBLIC INTEREST? (SEE 28 USC §22403) 1F SO, IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? YES NO 6. IS THIS CASE REQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT. 28 USC §22847 YES NO 7. DO ALL OF THE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AN COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE? A. IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTES RESIDE? EASTERN DIVISION CENTRAL DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION	
990, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900. V. 150, 152, 153. ITTLE AND NUMBER, IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES. (SEE LOCAL RULING, 1(g)). IF MORE THAN ONE PRIOR RELATION HAS BEEN FILED IN THIS DISTRICT PLEASE INDICATE THE TITLE WILLIAM BER OF THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS HAS APRIOR ACTION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND BASED ON THE SAME CLAIM EVER BEEN FILED IN THIS COURT? 4. HAS A PRIOR ACTION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND BASED ON THE SAME CLAIM EVER BEEN FILED IN THIS COURT? 5. DOES THE COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING PUBLIC INTEREST? (SEE 28 USC §2403) 1F SO, IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? 1F SO, IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? 1F YES NO 1F YES IN THIS CASE REQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT 28 USC §2284? 1F OALL OF THE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AN COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE? 1F YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTIES RESIDE? 1F YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTIES RESIDE? 1F YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE PLAINTIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EX	320
TITLE AND NUMBER, IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES. (SEE LOCAL RULING.1G)). IF MORE THAN ONE PRIOR RELATIONS BEEN FILED IN THIS DISTRICT PLEASE INDICATE THE TITLE AND MUMBER OF THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS ASSECTION THE SAME PARTIES AND BASED ON THE SAME CLAIM EVER BEEN FILED IN THIS COURT? 1. HAS A PRIOR ACTION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND BASED ON THE SAME CLAIM EVER BEEN FILED IN THIS COURT? 2. DOES THE COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING PUBLIC INTEREST? (SEE 28 USC §22403) 2. PES 2. NO 3. IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? 3. IS THIS CASE REQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT. 3. IS THIS CASE REQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT. 3. IN THE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDES AME DIVISION? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)). 3. IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTIES RESIDE? 4. IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION CENTRAL DIVISION 4. RESTERN DIVISION 4. RESTERN DIVISION 5. DOES THE ONLY PARTIES. DE THE PLAINTIEFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLUSION.	
TITLE AND NUMBER, IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES. (SEE LOCAL RULLING, 1G)). IF MORE THAN ONE PRIOR RELATION HAS BEEN FILED IN THIS DISTRICT PLEASE INDICATE THE TITLE AND AUBER OF THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS HAS A PRIOR ACTION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND BASED ON THE SAME CLAIM EVER BEEN FILEO IN THIS COURT? 1. DOES THE COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING PUBLIC INTEREST? (SEE 28 USC §2403) 1. F. SO, IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? 1. IS THIS CASE REQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT. 2. BY USC §2284? 2. DO ALL OF THE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AN COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDES AME DIVISION? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)). 2. A IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTIES RESIDE? EASTERN DIVISION CENTRAL DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION	
5. DOES THE COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING PUBLIC INTEREST? (SEE 28 USC §2403) IF SO, IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? IF SO, IS THIS CASE REQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT 28 USC §2284? YES NO 7. DO ALL OF THE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AN COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDES SAME DIVISION? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)). A IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTES RESIDE? EASTERN DIVISION CENTRAL DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION	
5. DOES THE COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING PUBLIC INTEREST? (SEE 28 USC §2403) IF SO, IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? IF SO, IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? IF SO, IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? IF SO, IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? IF SO, IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? IF SO, IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE UNITED STATES AND COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDER OF THE OLYSION OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTES RESIDER OF THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCEPTION OF THE PLAINTIEFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCEPTION OF THE ONLY PARTIE	
5. DOES THE COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING PUBLIC INTEREST? (SEE 28 USC §2403) IF SO, IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? IF SO, IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? YES NO 6. IS THIS CASE REQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT 28 USC §2284? YES NO 7. DO ALL OF THE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AN COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDES NO A. IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTES RESIDES RESTORMENTAL PARTES RESIDES WESTERN DIVISION EASTERN DIVISION CENTRAL DIVISION THE PLAINTIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EX	
7. DO ALL OF THE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AN COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES"). RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDES AME DIVISION? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)). A IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTIES RESIDE? EASTERN DIVISION CENTRAL DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION	
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACROSET (OF THE NON-COVERNMENTAL PARTIES RESIDE? A. IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTIES RESIDE? EASTERN DIVISION CENTRAL DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION EASTERN DIVISION CENTRAL DIVISION THE PLAINTIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EX	r 70 TTL
A. IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTES RESIDE? EASTERN DIVISION CENTRAL DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION EASTERN DIVISION CENTRAL DIVISION THE PLAINTIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EX	DHTE DHT NIBC
EASTERN DIVISION CENTRAL DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION EASTERN DIVISION CENTRAL DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION	
EASTERN DIVISION CENTRAL DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION EASTERN DIVISION CENTRAL DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION THE PLAINTIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EX	
B. IF NO, IN WHICH DIVISION DO THE MAJORITY OF THE PLAINTIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, E) GOVERNMENTAL AGENCES, RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE?	
	CLUDING
EASTERN DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION	1
(PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT)	
ATTORNEY'S NAME	ni N
ADDRESS TOO Washer Dan Street franke	<u> </u>
TELEPHONE NO. SEL-SUN-0771	

%JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS_44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDAN	TS		
Marlene Joha	nson	Uni	ted States of	America	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Middlesex (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		NOTE: IN LAN	County of Residence of First Listed (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nam	ne. Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Kr	lowa)	,	
Braintree	ngton Street , Ma 02184		80 BC		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSAIP OF F (For Divoraty Cast Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for De Jendent)	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		DEF I Incorposited or of Business I	Principal Place	
♥ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State		d Principal Place □ 5 □ 5 n Another State	
		Citizen or Subject of a E. Foreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NAT URE OF SUIT	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of 1548M88feare Act 152 Recovery of Defauked Student Lanas (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Denefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Force Insure 230 Rent Lesse & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property		610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Scizure of Propeny 21 USC 635 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Sundards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Rullway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Läigatön 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	☐ 864 SSID Tikk XVI	480 State Reap portionment 410 Antitust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Append of Fee Determine Published For Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 899 Other Statutory Actions	
V. ORIGIN 20 1 Original D 2 Re	CE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) emoved from	anothe	ferred from or district fy) General G		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are fling a Do not cite jurisd lettonal statutes unless diversity.)	ind write brief statement of cause.		·	
28 US. C,	24 10 & 28 USC Section	1340			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P., 23	DEMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAST	(Sec E(S) instructions): JUDG E		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT #A	APPLYING 1FP	IUDGE	MAG. JUE	OGE	

JS 44 Reveise (Rev. 12/96)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- 1. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b.) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States, are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are ditizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS-44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section IV below, is sufficient to enable the deputy derk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a) Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS-44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Case 1:04-cv-11789-RCL Document 1 Filed 08/17/2004 Page 34 of 34 DURKE & ASSOCIATES

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

400 Washington Street, Suite 303, Braintree, MA 02184
Telephone (781) 380-0770 Facsimile (781) 848-0330
www.burkelaw.us

August 16, 2004

Tony Anastas, Clerk U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts 1 Courthouse Way Boston, MA 02110

Re: Marlene Johansen v. The United States

Dear Mr. Anastas:

Enclosed please find the Plaintiff's Complaint and Civil Action Coversheet in the above entitled matter.

Also enclosed please find the filing fee in the amount of \$150.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Enclosures cc. Client